

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,195	02/18/2004	Shaibal Roy	80240	1759
	7590 01/17/200 L, DOPPELT, MILBRA	EXAMINER		
1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791			GARY, ERIKA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/781,195	ROY, SHAIBAL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Erika A. Gary	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the E	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) objected to by the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7. A.G. OF TOTAL 10-102.		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1, 7, 11, and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: at the end of the claims, "the least one negative polling event" should be "the at least one negative polling event". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: "An method" should be "A method". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-7, 10, 11, 14, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caruso et al., US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0140092 (hereinafter Caruso).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 11, and 15, Caruso discloses a communications system comprising: at least one data storage device for storing messages for respective users; a plurality of mobile wireless communications devices each associated with a respective user for accessing the messages stored on said at least one data storage device; and

an adaptive polling engine for polling said at least one data storage device for stored messages and providing the polled messages to mobile wireless communications devices of respective users; said adaptive polling engine changing a respective rate of polling for each mobile wireless communications device based upon at least one positive polling event and at least one negative polling event, the at least one negative polling event comprising a lack of authorized communications [figs. 2, 3; paragraphs 0007, 0008, 0016, 0017]

Regarding claim 4, Caruso discloses the at least one negative polling event further comprises a decrease in storage of messages for the respective user at the at least one data storage device [paragraph 0028].

Regarding claim 5, Caruso discloses wherein the at least one positive polling event comprises an increase in storage of messages for the respective user at the at least one data storage device [paragraph 0028].

Regarding claims 6, 10, 14, and 18, Caruso discloses wherein the at least one positive polling event comprises an increase in message access requests from a given mobile wireless communications device [paragraph 0028].

5. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 13-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rezvani et al, US Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0153537 (hereinafter Rezvani).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 11, and 15, Rezvani discloses a communications system comprising: at least one data storage device for storing messages for respective users;

Application/Control Number: 10/781,195 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

a plurality of mobile wireless communications devices each associated with a respective user for accessing the messages stored on said at least one data storage device; and an adaptive polling engine for polling said at least one data storage device for stored messages and providing the polled messages to mobile wireless communications devices of respective users; said adaptive polling engine changing a respective rate of polling for each mobile wireless communications device based upon at least one positive polling event and at least one negative polling event, the at least one negative polling event comprising a lack of authorized communications [paragraphs 0038, 0042].

Regarding claims 3, 9, 13, and 17, Rezvani discloses wherein the lack of authorized communications with said adaptive polling engine is based upon an invalid user login [paragraph 0134].

Regarding claim 4, Rezvani discloses the at least one negative polling event further comprises a decrease in storage of messages for the respective user at the at least one data storage device [paragraphs 0132-0135].

Regarding claim 5, Rezvani discloses wherein the at least one positive polling event comprises an increase in storage of messages for the respective user at the at least one data storage device [paragraphs 0132-0135].

Regarding claims 6, 10, 14, and 18, Rezvani discloses wherein the at least one positive polling event comprises an increase in message access requests from a given mobile wireless communications device [paragraphs 0132-0135].

Application/Control Number: 10/781,195 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2, 8, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caruso.

Caruso does not specifically disclose that the lack of authorized communication is based upon a given device being outside a wireless coverage area. However, Caruso does teach basing the polling on registration events [paragraph 0020]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Caruso to include that the lack of authorized communication is based upon a given device being outside a wireless coverage area if a positive registration is not received.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika A. Gary whose telephone number is 571-272-7841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571-272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,195

Art Unit: 2617

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EAG January 9, 2007

ERIKA A. GARY PRIMARY EXAMINER